IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

RICHARD MILLER	% %	
Plaintiff,	§	CIV. A. NO. 3:09-cv-0440-O
	§	ECF
<i>v</i> .	§	
	§	
RAYTHEON COMPANY,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT LIST

TO THE HONORABLE REED O'CONNOR:

In accordance with the Court's scheduling order and Local Rule 26.2 and its order of June 21, 2010, Plaintiff Richard Miller submits the following list of exhibits that he may offer at the trial of this case. The list does not include exhibits that he may offer solely for impeachment purposes. Additionally, Plaintiff incorporates any exhibit listed in Defendant's exhibit list.

1. EXHIBITS THAT PLAINTIFF MAY OFFER

No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	DEF.'S OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
1.	Richard Miller's résumé	RM 1020- 1021	X			
2.	Performance Development Summaries re: Richard Miller	Raytheon/Mill er 186, 193-196		Def. intends to stipulate to performance		
3.	Additional Performance Development Summaries re: Richard Miller	RM 1022- 1133		Fed. R. Evid. 901-902; Def. intends to stipulate to performance		
4.	Excerpts of Miller's notes	RM 206-219		Fed. R. Evid. 802 (re: statements by 3 rd party benefits admin.), 803(5)		
5.	2007 Performance Screen re: Richard Miller	Ex. 11/RM 585-90		Fed. R. Evid. 802, 803(5)		
6.	Excerpts of Miller's notes re: 2007 mid-year review and staff meetings	RM 550, 563		Fed. R. Evid. 803(5)		

				DEF.'S		
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
				Irrelevant; misleading, confusion of issue,		
				undue prejudice		
				outweighs probative		
	2007 Performance Development	Ex. 46/		value. Fed. R. Evid. 403; also subject to		
_	Summary re: Richard Miller and	Raytheon/		Defendant's pending		
7.	cover email	Miller 184-85		Motion in Limine "Confidential";		
				Hearsay Fed. R. Evid.		
				802; irrelevant (Fed.		
				R. Evid. 403); also subject to Defendant's		
		Raytheon/Mill		pending Motion in		
8.	Michael Rynbrandt résumé	er 1018-1019		Limine "Confidential";		
				Hearsay Fed. R. Evid.		
				802; Fed. R. Evid.		
	Performance Development	Raytheon/		403; also subject to Defendant's pending		
9.	Summaries re: Michael Rynbrandt	Miller 1020-24		Motion in Limine		
		Ex. 34/				
		Raytheon/				
10.	RIF Q&A	Miller 278-79	X			
	-			Irrelevant (FED. R.		
				EVID 403) except as to decisional units under		
	SCM DIE ADEA madele est (and	E 26/DM		R. Lyells; also subject		
11.	SCM RIF ADEA worksheet (and cover e-mail)	Ex. 36/RM 141, 195-205		to Defendant's Motion		
11.	Cover e-mair)	Ex. 37/		in Limine		
		Raytheon/				
12.	RIF Worksheet re: Mar. 2008 RIF	Miller 389-91	X			
		Ex. 38/				
	Open job requisition worksheet (as	Raytheon/		Subject to Defendant's		
13.	of 4/6/08)	Miller 367-75		Motion in Limine		
		Ex. 39/		Defendant agrees		
		Raytheon/		subject to redaction of M. Sheppard in that he		
	Long Service Review Committee	Miller 1124-		was not in a decisional		
14.	notes	1127		unit under R. Lyells.		
	Duefile Ermanianae na Dishand	Ex. 43/				
15.	Profile – Experience re: Richard Miller	Raytheon/ Miller 70	X			
13.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17111101 70	71	"Confidential";		
				Hearsay Fed. R. Evid.		
				802; misleading, confusion of issue,		
				undue prejudice		
		Ex. 48/		outweighs probative		
		Raytheon/		value. Fed. R. Evid. 403; also subject to		
16	Performance Development	Miller 1109-		Defendant's Motion in		
16.	Summaries re: Kenneth Barham	1112		Limine Irrelevant (FED. R.		
	1.1 WYGG 100111 P' Y	Ex.		EVID. 403); also		
17.	Job req. #NCS 108111 – Dir. I	14/Raytheon/ Miller 993-98		subject to Defendant's		
1/.	Supply Chain – Combat Systems			Motion in Limine Irrelevant (FED. R.		
	Johnson # NCS 109022 Mar II	Ex.		EVID. 403); also		
18.	Job req. # NCS 108923 – Mgr II Supply Chain	15/Raytheon/ Miller 969-71		subject to Defendant's		
10.	Suppry Cham	1VIIIICI 909-/1		Motion in Limine		

				DEF.'S		
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
	Job req. # 107643 – Mgr. III Supply	Ex.		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's age claim is only as to		
	Chain – Soldier Weapons Systems	18/Raytheon/		his termination (FED.		
19.	Supply Chain Capture	Miller 982-84		R. EVID. 403)		
		Ex.		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's		
	Job req. # NCS 107522 – Sr. Mgr.	24/Raytheon/		age claim is only as to his termination (FED.		
20.	Supply Chain	Miller 1003-08		R. EVID. 403)		
	Tr J	Ex. 64/				
		Raytheon/		Irrelevant (FED. R.		
		Miller 1015-		EVID. 403); also		
21.	Job requisition: #SAS108585	1017		subject to Defendant's		
21.	Too requisition. #BAB100303			Motion in Limine Irrelevant if Plaintiff's		
		Ex. 83/		age claim is only as to		
		Raytheon/		his termination (FED.		
22.	Job requisition: #SAS108836	Miller 987-989		R. EVID. 403)		
				Irrelevant if Plaintiff's		
	Job ID# NCS 107522, NCS 107643,	RM 1307-		age claim is only as to his termination (FED.		
23.	SAS 108685, SAS 108836	1317		R. EVID. 403)		
				Irrelevant if Plaintiff's		
	Intomol manuiting a series	Ex.		age claim is only as to		
2.4	Internal recruiting screen re:	19/Raytheon/		his termination (FED.		
24.	Richard Miller	Miller 1044		R. EVID. 403)		
				Lack of		
				sponsorship/foundatio n. Fed. R. Evid. 901-		
		Ex.		902; misleading;		
	LIDEIC nament someon no. Dichard			confusion of jury and		
25	HREIS report screen re: Richard	52/Raytheon/		irrelevant (Fed. R.		
25.	Miller	Miller 1114		Evid. 403)		
		Ex. 53/		Defendant agrees to		
		Raytheon/		admissibility subject to		
		Miller 1115-		maintaining as		
26.	SAS SCM Organization Chart	1123		"Confidential"		
		Ex. 55/		Defendant agrees to		
	Organization Charts re: SAS and its	Raytheon/		admissibility subject to maintaining as		
27.	sub-units	Miller 9-11		"Confidential"		
		Ex. 58/				
		Raytheon/		Irrelevant (Fed. R.		
28.	E-mail chain dated 2/27/08	Miller 861-864		Evid. 403); "Confidential"		
20.				Irrelevant (Fed. R.		
	E-mail chain between Lyells and	Raytheon/		Evid. 403);		
29.	Miller dated 1/31/08	Miller 867-68		"Confidential"		
				Rule of optional		
				completeness.		
				Defendant does not object subject to		
				response to email from		
	G. Meihn e-mail to J. Lam dated			J. Lam being included		
30.	6/16/08	RM 188		in exhibit.		
				Lacks foundation/		
				sponsorship. Fed. R.		
		Raytheon/		Evid. 901-902; irrelevant (Fed. R.		
31.	J. Lam notes of telephone calls	Miller 224		Evid. 403).		
	•			·		
				Lacks foundation/ sponsorship. Fed. R.		
		Ex. 74/		Evid. 901-902;		
	HREIS report screen re: Richard	Raytheon/		irrelevant (Fed. R.		
32.	Miller	Miller 287-88		Evid. 403).		

				DEF.'S		
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
				Irrelevant if Plaintiff's		
	Candidate Workflow Status re:	Raytheon/		age claim is only as to his termination (FED.		
33.	Richard Miller	Miller 1044		R. EVID. 403)		
		Ex. 88A/		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's		
		Raytheon/		age claim is only as to his termination (FED.		
34.	Screenshot of job requisition status	Miller 1063-64		R. EVID. 403)		
		Raytheon/		Irrelevant if Plaintiff's age claim is only as to		
	Excerpts of Candidate Workflow	Miller 1361		his termination (FED.		
35.	Status re: #SAS108836	(Exs. 85-87)		R. EVID. 403)		
	P 4 1 PPOC 3	Ex. 50/				
	Raytheon's EEOC position	Raytheon/				
36.	statement, plus business records declaration	Miller 828- 831, 811	X			
30.	deciaration	031, 011	Λ	Voluminous: undue		
				confusion as to		
				technical matters;		
		Located at		irrelevant until court decides to instruct jury		
	Raytheon Company's 2008 Annual	www.raytheon		on exemplary damages		
37.	Report	.com		(Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
				Voluminous; undue confusion as to		
				technical matters;		
		Located at		irrelevant until court		
	Raytheon Company's 2009 Annual	www.raytheon		decides to instruct jury on exemplary damages		
38.	Report	.com		(Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
	SEC Form 8-k re: Raytheon			Voluminous; undue		
	Company, dated 1/28/10 and			confusion as to		
	Raytheon's Second			technical matters; irrelevant until court		
	Supplemental/Amended Answers	D (1 /		decides to instruct jury		
39.	and/or Objections to Plaintiff's First	Raytheon/ Miller 1362-86		on exemplary damages		
39.	Interrogatories (Rog. No. 10). Economic assessment report for	Willief 1302-80		(Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
	Richard Miller by Dr. J. Herbert	RM 1318-				
40.	Burkman (dated Dec. 16, 2009)	1339	X			
	Raytheon TI Systems Employees	2007		Undue confusion as to		
	Pension Plan – Summary Plan	Raytheon/		technical matters;		
41.	Description Summary Figure	Miller 39-68		irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
	F	: = 2 2 3		Undue confusion as to		
	Compensation/payroll history re:	Raytheon/		technical matters;		
42.	Richard Miller	Miller 197-209		irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
	"Your Retirement Guide" – For			,		
	Participants in the Raytheon			Undue confusion as to technical matters:		
	Company Pension Plan for Salaried			irrelevant (Fed. R.		
43.	Employees	RM 91-114		Evid. 403)		
				Undue confusion as to		
	Pension benefit calculations re:			technical matters; irrelevant (Fed. R.		
44.	Richard Miller	RM 569-580		Evid. 403)		
		RM 595-97,		Undue confusion as to		
	Various RBI bonus awards and	610-613, 623-		technical matters;		
1.	compensation summaries re:	624, 628-30,		irrelevant (Fed. R.		
45.	Richard Miller	637, 641-42		Evid. 403)		
	Various achievement asserts come -	RM 633, 635-				
46.	Various achievement awards earned by Richard Miller	36, 639-40, 643-45		Irrelevant (Fed. R.		
40.	by McHaiu Miller	043-43		Evid. 403)		1

				DEF.'S		
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
47.	Miller's 2007 and 2008 IRS Form W-2s	RM 1305- 1306	X			
				No objections subject to underlying documents used to create log being produced (Fed. R.		
48.	Richard Miller – Job Search Log	RM 230-32		Evid. 1006)		
49.	Richard Miller – supplemental job search	RM 1367- 1376		No objections subject to underlying documents used to create log being produced (Fed. R. Evid. 1006)		
50.	Documents relating to Miller's job search	RM 244-439		Hearsay and recorded recollection (Fed. R. Evid. 802, 803(5)); Authenticity (Fed. R. Evid. 901-902).		
51.	Excerpts of Defendant Raytheon Company's Answers and/or Objections to Plaintiff's Interrogatories (Nos. 1 and 7)	Ex. 61	X			
52.	Excerpts of Defendant Raytheon Company's Answers and/or Objections to Plaintiff's Third Interrogatories (Nos. 17 and 21)	Ex. 71	X			
53.	March 16, 2010 declaration of Amos Wilson	Doc. No. 42	X			
54. 55.	Severance offer and release of claims re: Richard Miller Richard Miller's charge of age discrimination (#450-2008-04615)	RM 115-117 RM 6-7	X	Authenticity (Fed. R. Evid. 901-902); irrelevant (Fed. R. Evid. 403)		
33.		KWI 0-7	Λ			
56.	EEOC Dismissal and Notice of Right to Sue	RM22	X			
57.	TWC Notice of Right to File a Civil Action	RM 46	X			
58.	Timeline of Events (demonstrative)			Defendant reserves any objection that it may have to the content and/or use of demonstrative exhibits until such time as Plaintiff discloses them Defendant reserves		
59.	Summary of Damages (current through time of trial) (demonstrative)			any objection that it may have to the content and/or use of demonstrative exhibits until such time as Plaintiff discloses them		

	DEGGD PROVI		, appen	DEF.'S	OFFERED ED	
No.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIER	AGREED	OBJECTION(S)	OFFERED	ADMITTED
				Defendant reserves		
				any objection that it		
				may have to the		
				content and/or use of		
				demonstrative exhibits		
				until such time as		
				Plaintiff discloses		
				them. To the extent		
				that Plaintiff intends to summarize		
				information		
				concerning decisional		
				units outside of R.		
				Lyells' group,		
				Defendant objects as		
				irrelevant (Fed. R.		
				Evid. 403) and subject		
	Impact of RIF on SAS's Texas			to its pending Motion		
60.	employees (demonstrative)			in Limine.		
				Defendant reserves		
				any objection that it		
				may have to the		
				content and/or use of		
				demonstrative exhibits		
				until such time as		
				Plaintiff discloses		
	Raytheon's refusal to consider			them. Defendant		
	Miller for available positions			objects subject to its		
61				pending Motion in		
61.	(demonstrative)			Limine.		

2. PORTIONS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY THAT PLAINTIFF MAY PRESENT

Miller may present the following portions of depositions taken in this case.

Witness	Deposition (page(s)/line(s))
	7:19-8:4, 8:18-9:7
	14:7-15:1, 16:8-12
	17:7-9, 17:16-20
	30:3-31:7
	36:16-37:1
William E. Anderson	38:18-47:18
	66:16-67:16
	69:3-70:5
	70:16-72:2
	76:9-78:14
	79:18-21
	99:17-100:7
	4:11-13
Liao Common	8:18-9:4
Lisa Crump	9:20-10:12
	12:12-20

13:5-14:24
15:2-17
19:5-20:12
21:14-19
22:15-21
26:24-29:17
30:6-25
31:6-33:10
34:5-35:9
36:11-37:10
37:24-38:2
38:7-9, 38:21-23
39:2-10, 39:15-40:2
40:21-41:13
42:24-44:3
44:4-17
46:12-47:16
48:7-49:3
49:5-50:15
52:12-53:4
59:17-61:8
61:24-62:5
63:23-65:18
66:4-67:16
67:18-70:7
71:11-23
72:15-73:11
75:25-77:24
78:11-14 78:25-79:18
80:21-23, 81:1-83:8
84:5-85:5
85:24-87:17
91:6-16, 91:19-22
92:17-94:23
96:7-20
98:8-99:4
100:10-101:21
102:11-103:2
106:5, 106:19-107:4, 107:20-

	100.0
	108:8
	108:13-20, 109:5-110:15 111:4-112:11
	111:4-112:11
	115:21-25
	116:17-117:2, 117:8-24,
	118:6-10, 118:18-23
	124:3-125:25
	126:4-127:20
	128:7-21
	5:7-11, 5:16-6:14
	8:20-9:24
	10:14-23
	11:11-21
	31:22-35:20
	53:23-54:13
	58:16-59:19
	60:5-18
	77:20-78:13
	81:7-82:10
Vivek Kamath	85:15-86:5
VIVER IXamam	88:15-24
	91:18-92:18
	97:24-98:1
	98:10-99:13
	100:25-101:16
	104:5-19
	106:4-9, 107:17-111:8
	111:1-5
	119:15-120:20
	123:3-6, 123:17-23
	126:12-127:12
	6:13-7:5
	8:12-17
James Lam	18:21-24
	19:3-14
	29:24-30:3, 30:6-17
	8:11-24, 9:1-8
	13:10-14:1
Dohout Lyalla	15:1-16:17
Robert Lyells	17:19-18:6, 19:1-14
	23:6-24:23
	25:3-26:14
	29:1-4

	30:1-32:7-12
	34:22-35:10
	43:11-45:10
	46:15-49:3
	63:13-64:9
	79:7-10, 80:5-14
	90:11-91:1
	95:14-20
	105:9-22, 106:6-14
	111:10-19
	115:11-116:1, 117:15-18
	119:1-120:8
	124:2-125:1
	135:9-15
	148:4-11
	148:16-25
	155:9-156:1
	156:24-157:5
	165:3-166:3
	169:11-18
	170:20-25
	175:8-176:8
	179:19-182:1
	192:6-193:3
	199:7-200:3
	202:1-5
	202:13-203:9, 203:17-20
	206:18-23
	237:20-238:20
	9:12-20
	10:10-20
	12:9-14
	13:23-17:1
	18:21-24
	35:4-18
A11 D -: 1	45:18-23
Allen Reid	47:11-48:18
	51:5-11
	52:8-53:9
	56:5-9
	56:22-57:11
	59:24-60:12
	61:2-62:6

	(2.2.17.2.17.12.17
	63:3-65:8, 65:10-13
	66:8-13, 66:17-19
	74:23-76:10
	77:9-78:8
	79:2-80:13
	82:6-83:7
	87:4-88:10
	89:23-91:14
	92:19-93:17
	110:8-11:1
	111:3-116:3
	117:9-118:9
	123:10-124:1
	124:24-126:10
	127:3-18
	132:16-135:23
	138:23-140:14
	141:18-144:7
	145:22-146:11
	164:1-165:8
	182:6-187:19
	193:15-196:13
	198:4-199:5
	205:16-208:13
	4:11-13, 4:16-5:1
	5:16-6:13
	9:12-15
	10:16-11:3
	16:2-18:9
	18:22-19:19
	27:7-12
	28:15-31:6
	32:13-33:6, 33:15-19, 33:25-
I	34:6
Loretta Sweeney	36:1-9
	36:17-37:12
	38:6-14
	39:6-40:22
	43:4-9
	44:23-46:16, 47:6-13
	54:12-14
	55:19-25
	56:25-58:20
	60:5-14
	62:15-17, 22-25

	62.60.61.1.10
	63:6-8, 64:1-10
	87:25-88:5
	88:21-89:15
	91:22-92:4
	93:1-94:9
	95:21-96:6
	102:3-103:19
	104:13-15
	104:21-105:4
	112:8-12
	4:11-12
	5:14-16
	8:6-19
	9:13-11:1
	12:2-13:9
	16:12-24
	17:5-11
	21:25-22:21
	24:2-15
	25:21-28:3
	31:13-39:22
	42:24-43:24
	46:18-47:13, 47:20-48:18
	49:13-53:13
	54:2-57:16
	57:22-58:13
	58:18-59:21
	63:22-64:16
	66:7-21
	67:5-8, 67:21-68:9
	69:2-6
	70:12-72:17
	72:23-73:6
	73:12-74:16
	76:25-77:8
	77:19-80:2
	80:13-16
	81:1-10
	90:22-92:12
	93:11-95:1
	95:14-19
	96:8-10, 96:14
	98:12-99:13
A *****1	106:15-107:18
Amos Wilson	108:5-23

110:12-17
110:23-111:22
112:19-25
113:20-114:3
121:2-122:6
123:6-16, 123:19-124:10
124:15-17
124:20-23
126:10-127:11
132:21-133:5
133:19-134:13
134:15-135:13
135:19-136:7
136:25-137:25
138:20-139:12
141:10-12
148:24-149:12
150:13-151:6
152:4-7
160:12-15
161:8-164:22

Miller's counsel deposed each of these witnesses before a certified court reporter and/or videographer. Because Miller may use some of the above-listed testimony for limited purpose(s), or because the testimony may be admissible only for limited purpose(s), Miller's disclosure of this testimony is not intended to waive any objection that he may have as to its admissibility for other purposes.

DATED: June 23, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

GILLESPIE, ROZEN & WATSKY P.C. 3402 Oak Grove Avenue, Suite 200

Dallas, Texas 75204 Tel: (214) 720-2009 Fax: (214) 720-2291

/s/ James D. Sanford

Hal K. Gillespie

Attorney-in-Charge
Texas Bar No. 07925500
hkg@grwlawfirm.com
Yona Rozen
Texas Bar No. 17958500
yrozen@grwlawfirm.com
Edith K. Thomas
Texas Bar No. 24060717
ethomas@grwlawfirm.com
James D. Sanford
Texas Bar No. 24051289
jsanford@grwlawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

The undersigned certify that the parties' counsel have conferred over the admissibility of exhibits listed in Plaintiff's exhibit list and that the foregoing list, which indicates agreement or objections as to certain exhibits, reflects the current state of the parties' agreement.

DATED: June 23, 2010

FORD & HARRISON LLP

1601 Elm St., Suite 4450

Dallas, Texas 75201

Tel: 214.256.4700

Fax: 214.256.4701

GILLESPIE, ROZEN & WATSKY, P.C.

3402 Oak Grove Ave., Suite 200

Dallas, Texas 75204

Tel: 214.720.2009

Fax: 214.720.2291

By: /s/ Buena Vista Lyons

By: /s/ James D. Sanford

(by permission: JDS)Hal K. GillespieMichael P. MaslankaAttorney-in-chargeTexas Bar No. 13148800hkg@grwlawfirm.comMMaslanka@fordharrison.comTexas Bar No. 07925500Buena Vista LyonsYona Rozen

Texas Bar No. 00797630 Texas Bar No. 17958500

VLyons@fordharrison.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

yrozen@grwlawfirm.com James D. Sanford Texas Bar No. 24051289 jsanford@grwlawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on June <u>23</u>, 2010 he electronically submitted the foregoing document to the clerk of court for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case files system of the court. The electronic case files system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to the following individuals, who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means: Michael P. Maslanka, Esq., and Buena Vista Lyons, Esq., of FORD & HARRISON LLP, attorneys for Defendant Raytheon Company.

/s/ James D. Sanford
One of Plaintiff's Counsel